

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vriginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/612,633		07/02/2003	John Van Newenhizen	0308.67452	3651
24978	7590	12/07/2004		EXAMINER	
GREER, BURNS & CRAIN 300 S WACKER DR				CINTINS, IVARS C	
25TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO	CHICAGO, IL 60606			1724	
				DATE MAILED: 12/07/2004	L

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	10/612,633	NEWENHIZEN ET AL.					
omeo neden danmary	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Ivars C. Cintins	1724					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
_	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)⊡ The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	priority under 25 LLC C S 440(-)	(4) (0)					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attacker (1)							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	<b>,, □</b>						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Ll Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(PTO-413) te					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20 October 2003.	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Pa						
S. Patent and Trademark Office	6)  Other:	·					

Application/Control Number: 10/612,633

Art Unit: 1724

Figure 1 of the Drawings appears to be Prior Art (see page 7, lines 20-21 of the specification), and if so, it must be labeled as such (see M.P.E.P. § 608.02(g)).

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5, 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Keilin et al. (U.S. Patent No. 3,899,421). See col. 2, lines 28-34 and 58-60.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6-8 and 12-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Keilin et al. in view of Rannenberg (U.S. Patent No. 3,440,147). Keilin et al. discloses the claimed invention with the exception of type of distillation system employed. Rannenberg discloses separating water from brine with a distillation system of the type recited. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the distillation system of Rannenberg for the evaporator of Keilin et al., since this secondary reference distillation system is capable of separating water from brine in substantially the same manner as the evaporator of the primary reference, to produce substantially the same results. Also, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to transfer heat generated by the compressor to the reservoir chamber of the modified

Application/Control Number: 10/612,633

Art Unit: 1724

primary reference system, by the conventional heat transfer equipment recited in claim 14, in order to conserve energy in this modified primary reference system.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Keilin et al. in view of Janke et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,234,601). Keilin et al. discloses the claimed invention with the exception of type of the recited sensing means. Janke et al. teaches measuring conductivity with electrode pairs of the type recited; and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the conductivity measuring device of Janke et al. for the conductivity cell of Keilin et al., since this secondary reference conductivity measuring device is capable of detecting the concentration of salt in an effluent stream in substantially the same manner as the conductivity cell of the primary reference (see col. 2, lines 29-30), to produce substantially the same results.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to I. Cintins whose telephone number is (571) 272-1155. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Duane Smith, can be reached at (571) 272-1166.

The centralized facsimile number for the USPTO is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Art Unit: 1724

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ivars C. Cintins
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1724

I. Cintins December 5, 2004